1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
2	
3	
4	DAVID AND JACQUELYN McDONOUGH,
5	Petitioners,
6	vs. PCB No. 00-163
7	GARY ROBKE,
8	Respondent.
9	
10	
11	
12	Proceedings held on November 13, 2001, at 9:23 a.m., at the
13	St. Clair County Building, the Law Library, 10 Public Square,
14	Belleville, Illinois, before Steven C. Langhoff, Hearing Officer.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Reported by: Darlene M. Niemeyer, CSR, RPR CSR License No.: 084-003677
22	
23	KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 11 North 44th Street
24	Belleville, IL 62226 (618) 277-0190

1-800-244-0190

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner: Pro se
5	
6	On behalf of the Respondent:
7	WEILMUENSTER & WIGGINTON, P.C.
8	BY: Stephen R. Wigginton Attorney at Law
9	3201 West Main Street Belleville, Illinois 62226
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	NUMBER
4	DAVID McDONOUGH Direct Examination by Mr. McDonough Cross-Examination by Mr. Wigginton Redirect examination by Mr. McDonough		17
5			34 46
6		•••	40
7	GREG ZAK Direct Examination by Mr. McDonough		47
8		•••	17
9	JACQUELYN McDONOUGH Direct Examination by Mr. McDonough		66
10		•••	00
11	GARY ROBKE Direct Examination by Mr. Wigginton Cross-Examination by Mr. McDonough		68
12			87
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NUMBER	MARKED FOR I.D.	ENTERED
4	Complainant Exhibit 1	17	65
5	Complainant Exhibit 2 Complainant Exhibit 3	18 20	65 65
J	Complainant Exhibit 4	21	65
6	Complainant Exhibit 5	23	65
	Complainant Exhibit 6	26	65
7	Complainant Exhibit 7	26	65
0	Complainant Exhibit 8	29	65
8	Complainant Exhibit 9 Complainant Exhibit 10	30 32	65 65
9	Complainant Exhibit 11	32	65
	Complainant Exhibit 12		65
10	Complainant Exhibit 13		65
	Complainant Exhibit 14	33	65
11	Complainant Exhibit 15	34	65
12	Complainant Exhibit 16		65
13	Respondent Exhibit 1	36	94
	Respondent Exhibit 2	73	94
14	Respondent Exhibit 3 Respondent Exhibit 4	78 84	94 94
15	Respondent Exhibit 5	85	94
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(November 13, 2001; 9:23 a.m.)
3	HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Good morning, everyone. My name
4	is Steven Langhoff. I am the Pollution Control Board Hearing
5	Officer who will be assigned this matter and will be conducting
6	the hearing today. This is PCB 00-163, David McDonough and
7	Jacquelyn McDonough, versus Gary Robke.
8	For the record, it is Tuesday, November the 13th, 2001, and
9	we are beginning at 9:23 a.m. I want to note for the record that
10	there are no members of the public present. Members of the
11	public are encouraged and allowed to provide public comment if
12	they so choose.
13	At issue in this case are allegations made in the complaint
14	filed by David McDonough and Jacquelyn McDonough, or the
15	McDonoughs or complainants. The violations alleged in the
16	complaint are for violations of Sections 24, 23 and 24 of the
17	Environmental Protection Act, or Act, 415 ILCS 5/23 and 24 2000.
18	The complaint also alleges a violation of 35 Ill. Admin. Code
19	900.102(a) 2000 or a noise nuisance. The Board accepted this
20	matter for hearing on May 4th of 2000.
21	I want to take a brief moment to let you know what is going
22	to happen today and after the proceeding today. You should know
23	that it is the Pollution Control Board, and not me, that will

 $24\,$ $\,$ make the final decision in this case. My job as Hearing Officer

- 1 requires that I conduct the hearing in a neutral and orderly
- 2 manner so that we have a clear record of the proceedings here
- 3 today. It is also my responsibility to assess the credibility of
- 4 any witnesses giving testimony today. I will do so on the record
- 5 at the conclusion of the proceedings.
- 6 We will begin with opening statements from the parties, if
- 7 any, and then we will proceed with the McDonoughs case, followed
- 8 by Mr. Robke having an opportunity to put on a case in his
- 9 behalf. We will conclude with any closing arguments that the
- 10 parties wish to make and then we will discuss off the record a
- 11 briefing schedule which will be set on the record at the
- 12 conclusion of the proceedings.
- 13 The Board's procedural rules and the Act provide that
- 14 members of public shall be allowed to speak or submit written
- 15 statements at hearing. Any person offering such testimony today
- 16 shall be subject to cross-examination by both of the parties.
- 17 Any such statements offered by members of the public must be
- 18 relevant to the case at hand. I will call for any statements
- 19 from members of the public at the conclusion of the proceedings.
- 20 This hearing was noticed pursuant to the Act and the
- 21 Board's rules and regulations and will be conducted pursuant to
- 22 Sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the Board's procedural rules.
- 23 Before beginning, I would like to caution everyone that

- 1 everyone should act appropriately and with proper decorum and
- 2 with due respect for all of the parties involved.
- 3 During the course of the hearing it is acceptable to call
- 4 me either Mr. Hearing Officer or Mr. Langhoff.
- 5 At this time I will ask the parties to make their
- 6 appearances on the record, beginning with the McDonoughs. Mr.
- 7 McDonough, would you make an appearance on the record. Just
- 8 state your name.
- 9 MR. McDONOUGH: David McDonough.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. McDonough, you are not an
- 11 attorney; is that correct?
- 12 MR. McDONOUGH: Correct.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You have elected to proceed
- 14 today without the benefit of legal counsel; is that correct?
- 15 MR. McDONOUGH: That's correct.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you very much. Mrs.
- 17 McDonough.
- 18 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: Yes.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Would you please state your
- 20 name?
- 21 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: Jacquelyn McDonough.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mrs. McDonough, you are not an
- 23 attorney; is that correct?

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You have elected to proceed
- 2 today without the benefit of legal counsel; is that correct?
- 3 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: Correct.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you very much. For Mr.
- 5 Robke.
- 6 MR. ROBKE: Gary Robke.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Mr. Wigginton?
- 8 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes. Stephen Wigginton with Weilmuenster &
- 9 Wigginton, P.C., Belleville, Illinois.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Are there any
- 11 outstanding or prehearing motions that the parties would like to
- 12 present before we proceed?
- 13 MR. WIGGINTON: I have one, Your Honor. I didn't know how
- 14 many copies I was required so I brought nine.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Do you want to give one to Mrs.
- 16 McDonough?
- 17 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
- 19 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: Thank you.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Go ahead, Mr. Wigginton.
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: Your Honor, the respondent presents a
- 22 motion and an order in limine with respect to certain evidence

- 23 that may be attempted to be brought into this hearing today.
- 24 Item number one is a request that the court prohibit the

- 1 complainant from attempting to admit any statements, photographs,
- 2 drawings, videos, documents, or other tangible objects or items
- 3 that have -- heretofore requested of the complaint which have not
- 4 been produced by the complainant, other than to use as
- 5 demonstrative materials or aids. Do you want me to go through
- 6 the --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You don't have to read it, but
- 8 if you want to summarize it, it is pretty short.
- 9 MR. WIGGINTON: Right. On this one I have sent out
- 10 requests for production of documents.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 12 MR. WIGGINTON: And objects and they have responded to
- 13 those and I am just asking that in order to prevent any surprise
- 14 or undue fairness -- or unfairness -- I am sorry -- that they be
- 15 limited to what they have produced to me so far.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Good. Let's take one at
- 17 a time, and that is the first -- at least the first item. Mr.
- 18 McDonough, do you have any argument, or any --
- 19 MR. McDONOUGH: I don't plan to present anything that the
- 20 respondents have not had a chance to review already.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. I will just
- 22 make my ruling after we are done. With number two, Mr.

- 23 Wigginton.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Excuse Me. There is one picture, a

- 1 photograph of my house that has no significance really other than
- 2 to explain --
- 3 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: That would be demonstrative material.
- 4 MR. WIGGINTON: That's correct.
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. So that's all right?
- 6 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes, as long as you are using the
- 7 photographs as demonstrative evidence, that is fine.
- 8 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 9 MR. WIGGINTON: In fact, you have already produced
- 10 photographs, and I have produced photographs to you.
- 11 MR. McDONOUGH: Correct.
- 12 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Number two on the
- 14 motion in limine.
- MR. WIGGINTON: Yes. This is a motion in limine to
- 16 preclude any reference, evidence or argument regarding any
- 17 numerical measurement testing of sound, which may have been
- 18 conducted by any consultant or retained opinion witness which has
- 19 not been produced.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: It is my understanding that Mr. Zak may

- 22 provide testimony on behalf of the complainants as an expert
- 23 witness now that he has retired from the Illinois EPA. And based
- 24 on my conversation that I had with Mr. Zak this summer, he

1 indicated that he had not conducted any numerical testing. I

- 2 have not seen any reports from him. In my Rule 213
- 3 interrogatories they indicated there were no reports and no
- 4 testing documents.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 6 McDonough, any kind of argument or --
- 7 MR. McDONOUGH: No. That is correct. The only
- 8 measurements that I will present were taken by myself.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. With regard to
- 10 number three, Mr. Wigginton.
- 11 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes. With respect to three, it is to
- 12 prohibit the complainant from attempting to admit or admitting
- 13 any measurement testing of sound unless he shows that the
- 14 measurement complies with the measurement procedures that are set
- 15 forth in Title 35, Subtitle 1, Chapter 1, Section 900.103, and
- 16 the applicable American National Standards Institute standards
- 17 and recommended practices. That particular section sets forth
- 18 requirements that a party must meet in order to have numerical
- 19 measurement testing allowed.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 21 McDonough.

- 22 MR. McDONOUGH: The only standards that I am aware of are
- 23 that the measuring device be held 25 feet from the common
- 24 property line and held in a manner to -- not moved around, held

- 1 fairly steady in pointing at the noise source. That's basically
- 2 the way I proceeded to take measurements.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Anything
- 4 further, Mr. Wigginton?
- 5 MR. WIGGINTON: I would just ask the Hearing Officer to
- 6 order the complainant, in order to present such evidence, that
- 7 they comply with the standards as they are set forth in the law.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. With regards
- 9 to your motion and order in limine, I will be sustaining your
- 10 motion in limine with regards to the first two paragraphs,
- 11 number one and two, any documents that have not been produced and
- 12 any numerical testing that has not been produced. And I am going
- 13 to deny, at this point, number three. If that comes up during
- 14 the hearing, please make a motion at that time or an objection at
- 15 that time.
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: We will see what happens during
- 18 the hearing. Anything further preliminarily?
- 19 MR. WIGGINTON: Nothing further from the respondents.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.

- 21 McDonough, anything further?
- 22 MR. McDONOUGH: No, sir.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. McDonough, would you
- 24 like to give a brief opening statement? You don't have to.

1

2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.

MR. McDONOUGH: I would.

- 3 MR. McDONOUGH: Good morning. My wife and I would like to
- 4 thank the Board for the opportunity to present our case. We had
- 5 hoped to resolve this matter without needing this hearing, but
- 6 that proved not to be possible.
- 7 As background, my wife and I live at 751 North Jefferson in
- 8 Mascoutah, Illinois. I will testify today that our property is
- 9 immediately adjacent to and shares a common property line with
- 10 the respondent's car wash.
- 11 Now, before the car wash was built, my family lived
- 12 reasonably well and enjoyed normal activities in both our yard
- 13 and in our house. But since the car wash was built and opened
- 14 for business in the early fall of 1998, the noise levels from car
- 15 wash equipment, patrons' vehicles, and the patrons themselves,
- 16 have created a noise environment around our home that is
- 17 unreasonable, illegal, and totally unacceptable to us. I will
- 18 testify that the noise levels from the car wash unreasonably
- 19 interfere with our routine daily activities to include, but not
- 20 limited to, relaxing or working in our yard, relaxing on our

- 21 porch, having the windows in our home open, and sleeping.
- 22 In demonstrating our case, I will present three types of
- 23 evidence. I will testify that the many activities at the car
- 24 wash cause noise and also that the noise caused by those

- 1 activities unreasonably interfered with our daily lives. I will
- 2 introduce noise measurements and other documents showing the
- 3 frequency, duration and loudness of noises from the car wash. I
- 4 will also introduce an expert witness in noise matters who will
- 5 discuss the likely human response impacts due to noise.
- 6 We believe the evidence is overwhelming and will require
- 7 the Board to adopt the remedies we will request. For remedies
- 8 our technical expert in these matters will testify that
- 9 technically practical and economically reasonable remedies exist
- 10 and can either eliminate much of the noise from the car wash or
- 11 reduce it to reasonable levels.
- 12 Again, I thank the Board for hearing our case, and that
- 13 concludes my opening remarks.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Mr. Wigginton?
- 15 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you. On behalf of the respondent,
- 16 we, too, would like to thank the Board for the opportunity to
- 17 have this matter heard today. We, too, tried to resolve this
- 18 issue without the Board's involvement. There have been various
- 19 offers made and various things done at the car wash prior to

- 20 today's hearing to try and mitigate any complaints that the
- 21 McDonoughs may have. Unfortunately, that was not the case.
- 22 We will present evidence that the car wash is properly
- 23 zoned, that it is properly permitted, that it is a viable,
- 24 economic business that provides tax revenues to the City of

- 1 Mascoutah and the State of Illinois. That it is not your typical
- 2 car wash. That it is a first-class construction, of brick
- 3 construction.
- 4 We will also present evidence that the owners have not
- 5 received any complaints from the police department regarding any
- 6 type of nuisance or loud noise emanating from the property, nor
- 7 have they received any complaints from the City of Mascoutah
- 8 itself.
- 9 We will also introduce evidence that the McDonoughs'
- 10 property is surrounded by property zoned commercial and that
- 11 there is ongoing development, and that since the car wash has
- 12 been constructed there have been two other commercial businesses,
- 13 one that shares a common border with the McDonough's on the south
- 14 end of the property. The car wash is on the north end of the
- 15 property.
- 16 Unfortunately, the McDonoughs have purchased property that
- 17 is in a commercial district, and it is going to be in a
- 18 commercial district now that the property is part of an overall
- 19 large-scale development. We do not believe that the noise -- our

- 20 evidence will be that the noise that comes from the car wash is
- 21 not unreasonable and that it is not frequent or substantial in
- 22 nature. And given that, I do not believe that the court -- or
- 23 that the Board will find that the McDonoughs will meet the
- 24 two-prong test.

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

I do not -- we will also have evidence that any remedies

- 2 that Mr. McDonough may seek to impose may be improper
- 3 economically, and more importantly may be improper
- 4 constitutionally. One of the remedies I anticipate that he may
- 5 suggest is the closing of the business which, in our opinion,
- 6 would be not only improper under the law but unconstitutional
- 7 under the law and may be a takings under the Constitution of the
- 8 United States and the State of Illinois. That will be a matter
- 9 that we will address in any post hearing briefs.
- 10 I would also reserve the right to interpose any objections
- 11 in the future as to -- I don't know what Mr. Zak's testimony may
- 12 be, but I know what Mr. Zak's responses were to the Supreme Court
- 13 Rule 213 interrogatories that I sent asking for his opinions, and
- 14 he will be limited -- I will ask the court to limit him to those
- 15 opinions that have been disclosed to us.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
- 17 MR. WIGGINTON: You are welcome.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. McDonough, do you

- 19 want to put on your case-in-chief? Do you want to call your
- 20 first witness? Is that going to be yourself or --
- 21 MR. McDONOUGH: That will be myself.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Yourself?
- MR. McDONOUGH: Yes.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I will have the court

1 reporter swear you in.

- 2 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 3 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am going to allow you just to
- 4 testify and give a narrative and maybe allow Mr. Wigginton, if he
- 5 has any objections, give him a second --
- 6 MR. McDONOUGH: Sure.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: -- if he states an objection and
- 8 then we will take it from there.
- 9 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Go ahead.
- 11 MR. McDONOUGH: First of all, I have got the sets of
- 12 exhibits that have all been marked, as you had requested last
- 13 week.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
- MR. McDONOUGH: And these are -- we will use as the
- 16 originals.
- 17 Initially I would like to provide a little background and
- 18 history and get us oriented into the situation, using first what

- 19 is marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit Number 1.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Or C1, for the record, Exhibit
- 21 C1 or C1. Okay.
- 22 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 23 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 1 as of this
- 24 date.)

- MR. McDONOUGH: What this shows is an overhead of our
- 2 property, which is outlined in red and is bordered on the west by
- 3 Illinois 4 and in all other directions by farmland. And our
- 4 property existed this way until 1998, when the car wash, and as
- 5 Mr. Wigginton indicated, other -- another development occurred on
- 6 the south side of our property.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: For the record, is there a north
- 8 arrow on your overhead? I guess it is an aerial photograph, C1.
- 9 MR. McDONOUGH: No, there isn't. The north arrow would --
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Just real quickly if you could.
- 11 MR. McDONOUGH: It would point that way.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. For the record, he
- 13 has marked a north arrow on Cl. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. I would like to use what is marked
- 15 as Complainant's Exhibit Number 2 to give you an idea of our yard
- 16 and our home and what we do at home in terms of our routine daily
- 17 activities.

18	(Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
19	identification as Complainant's Exhibit 2 as of this
20	date.)
21	MR. McDONOUGH: What this shows first are the many gardens
22	that are in our yard which are the hatched areas both almost
23	encircling the house and garage. We have many gardens in that
24	area. There is a couple to the south of the house but close in.
	18 KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

- $1\,$ $\,$ And then we have a garden, a vegetable garden and tree farm at
- 2 the west end of our property.
- 3 The larger trees that you see around there are pecan trees,
- 4 and during October and November of the fall during a good crop we
- 5 spend hours over many weeks out there picking the pecans off the
- 6 ground. The hours we spend in the gardens tending to them is
- 7 extremely large in terms of time. Also, the patio that you see
- 8 there is an area under one of the pecan trees with a table and
- 9 chairs which we used to like to sit under and relax.
- 10 Now, getting closer to the house itself, you note the porch
- 11 at the street side of the house and the master bedroom directly
- 12 behind it with the two windows on the north side of the master
- 13 bedroom. This is the area where we sleep and spend anywhere from
- 14 probably six to ten hours a day in that bedroom area. The porch,
- 15 on weekends -- we both work during the week. So the majority of
- 16 our time at home is spent in the evenings and then during the
- 17 weekends all day long, and the porch during the mornings and

- 18 evenings is often a pleasant place to relax.
- 19 The windows I want to note in particular, because these are
- 20 the -- this is where the noise from the car wash is -- disturbs
- 21 us most frequently and most seriously, I guess is the point.
- Now, in 1998, the respondents built their car wash, and it
- 23 is shown here on the right-hand side. What I want to point out
- 24 is that the west boundary of their property is slightly closer to

- 1 the road. Our west boundary extends further beyond theirs, and
- 2 that becomes important for certain noise aspects that I will
- 3 describe later.
- 4 Now, the final point is the berms here. Those are new.
- 5 Everything else on our property was that way before the car wash
- 6 came into existence. But the berms have been put in between the
- 7 car wash and our home to try to give us some break from the noise
- 8 and the visual activity that goes on there. It has been fairly
- 9 effective in breaking the visual, but has done very little to
- 10 reduce the noise problem.
- 11 Next, to give you an idea of the car wash itself, I have
- 12 what is marked as Complainant's Exhibit 3, which is an
- 13 engineering layout of the car wash.
- 14 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 15 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 3 as of this
- 16 date.)

- 17 MR. McDONOUGH: The first feature to note is the concrete
- 18 pad, which is indicated by the edge of pavements which are
- 19 highlighted in red. That's the area where all of the vehicle and
- 20 car wash equipment activity takes place. And also to the
- 21 right -- or to the left -- I am sorry -- there is an "X" that
- 22 marks the spot of our home. From the north edge of our house to
- 23 the south edge of the car wash cement pad is approximately 95
- 24 feet. And the maximum distance to any other point on the pad is

- 1 about 250 feet. So any noise or activity that takes place on the
- 2 car wash relative to our bedroom window is no less than 95 feet
- 3 and no more than 250 feet.
- 4 Now, getting to a little more detail, what is shown there
- 5 are the vacuum stations, three vacuum stations, then four car
- 6 wash bays that are hand-held high pressure spray bays, and then
- 7 to the far right is the automatic car wash bay which, again, is a
- 8 high pressure type of washing process.
- 9 Now, to give you a little bit more detail from actual
- 10 photographs, I would like to go to what has been marked as
- 11 Complainant's Exhibit Number 4.
- 12 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- identification as Complainant's Exhibit 4 as of this
- 14 date.)
- 15 MR. McDONOUGH: It shows the car wash building on the -- in
- 16 the right top photo, our home in the left top photo, and a view

- 17 of the north face of our house in the bottom photo. I would like
- 18 to point out the berm that we have put in at our own expense in
- 19 between the car wash and our home for the purposes of cutting
- 20 down both the visual and the noise, but it has not had the effect
- 21 that we had hoped.
- Now, when you get to the car wash picture itself we can
- 23 start to talk about some of the noise aspects. You see the
- 24 vacuums, and there are three vacuum stations each with two

- 1 vacuums on each station, so a total of six vacuums. Each of
- 2 those are coin operated. When you put a coin in, it beeps. So
- 3 they are 75 cent machines. You take three coins and you get
- 4 three beeps. Then they operate for four minutes, and when it is
- 5 approximately a minute before the power is going to go off, they
- 6 get a sequence of approximately ten high-pitched beeps. And then
- 7 in addition to the beeps the vacuums operate for four straight
- 8 minutes, and the drone and hiss of the vacuums is another noise
- 9 nuisance.
- 10 The car wash bays themselves, it depends on where you are
- 11 in our yard as to the noise problem that they cause. When you
- 12 are at the point of our house you are really not subjected to a
- 13 lot of the car wash bay noise. Where the car wash bay noise
- 14 becomes a problem is in the front of the yard or in the back of
- 15 the yard where you have a more direct -- or it is diagonal, but

- 16 still a direct view into the bays, and at that point you can hear
- 17 them. The automatic bay is particularly noisy, just in the
- 18 mechanism that moves in the bay to distribute the water on the
- 19 cars.
- 20 And now the next thing and probably the most prevalent
- 21 noise producers are the vehicles themselves. What you see in
- 22 this photo are strictly cars. What are not shown here are the
- 23 motorcycles, the off-road two and four-wheelers that are brought
- 24 into the car wash on occasion. The high performance vehicles and

- 1 trucks that are on the road today, all with fairly loud motors
- 2 and muffler characteristics.
- 3 The other thing with the cars or trucks, any vehicle, is
- 4 many of them have radios. And radios tend to be popular and are
- 5 often played at very loud levels, either for enjoyment or to be
- 6 heard above the other noises being created by vacuums and other
- 7 car wash noises. The other thing that all of the vehicles have
- 8 are tires, and the pad of the car wash is frequently wet and
- 9 whether it is out of intent or mistake, there is frequently
- 10 screeching of tires while people are either on the car wash or
- 11 departing the car wash toward the street.
- 12 The final thing that all vehicles have are horns, and those
- 13 are extremely loud when they are blown, and it is often not
- 14 malicious or for no purpose that the horns are blown. I think
- 15 what frequently happens is people are in their car washing the

- 16 dashboard and so on and hit the horn a couple of times, and so it
- 17 is -- it is my opinion that is how a lot of the horn noises
- 18 occur.
- I think I have covered pretty much what I wanted to say
- 20 about the nature of the different types of noises at the car
- 21 wash.
- 22 (Whereupon a document was duly marked for purposes of
- 23 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 5 as of this
- 24 date.)

- 1 $\,$ MR. McDONOUGH: Now, to get an idea of the frequency and
- 2 the types of noises that occur, we kept a log of noises between
- 3 the periods of 08-23-99 to 12-22-99, basically a four-month
- 4 period. And then again from 07-30 of 2000 to 09-28 of 2000, an
- 5 approximate two-month period.
- 6 Now, the noise -- or the log is not as scientific as it
- 7 could have been, but it does provide a good overview of the types
- 8 and frequencies of disturbances. It was kept in our bedroom next
- 9 to our bed and mainly used to log disturbances after we had gone
- 10 to bed for the evening. Also the log was kept only Monday
- 11 through Friday. Weekends at the car wash tend to be the most
- 12 heavy traffic periods, and we felt that the nature of the
- 13 frequencies that we were logging during the weekdays were
- 14 sufficient to show the unreasonable nature of noise from the car

- 15 wash.
- 16 I would also like to note that the log disturbances
- 17 occurred with the bedroom windows closed. We had learned a long
- 18 time earlier that we were unable to keep our windows open any
- 19 longer and get any sleep. The windows being closed didn't
- 20 eliminate the noise disturbances, but it did help to some degree.
- 21 Just reading the log, you get an idea of the frequency of
- 22 disturbances.
- 23 For instance, in 1999, when we initially started keeping
- 24 the log, on 08-23, there were two occurrences that evening of

- 1 loud engine and muffler noise and then a motorcycle. On 08-24,
- 2 three occurrences. On 08-26, a number more of occurrences. On
- 3 08-27, two occurrences. In going through here, it is -- what you
- 4 find is that two days in a row was the maximum of the Monday
- 5 through Friday period that we were not disturbed by one noise or
- 6 another over that four-month period. Really the two-month period
- 7 in 2000 is similar. So we have kind of focused on the 1999
- 8 period log.
- 9 One other point with the duration, if you refer to the
- 10 09-08 entry, we find that the noise problem began at 10:30 and
- 11 there was a period from 10:30 to 10:40 with loud revving motors
- 12 and squealing tires and doors slamming and loud talking. And
- 13 then a short break and then at 10:55 motors revving and tires
- 14 squealing again. At 11:15 engines racing. At 11:35 -- actually,

- 15 11:15 to 11:35 that entire period was engines racing and loud
- 16 radios and honking. And finally at 12:00 a.m. the noise picked
- 17 up again and at that point we called the police. So you have a
- 18 period of over an hour and a half that while the noise emissions
- 19 were different, it was a continual disturbance for a long period
- 20 of time.
- 21 Now, another type of long duration disturbance would be a
- 22 radio, where that is just a persistent single noise emitter. And
- 23 we have -- at times the noise from radios can be a problem from
- 24 anywhere from a few seconds to a half an hour. It just depends

- 1 on the particular situation.
- 2 (Whereupon documents were duly marked for purposes of
- 3 identification as Complainant's Exhibits 6 and 7 as of
- 4 this date.)
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Next I would like to summarize what
- 6 is in the log. To do this I would use what is marked for
- 7 identification as Complainant's Exhibit Number 6. What this did
- 8 was for the log period of 08-23 of 1999 to 12-23 of 1999, the
- 9 four-month period, we captured all the occurrences between 10:00
- 10 at night and 6:30 in the morning.
- 11 As you can see, the types of disturbances were quite
- 12 varied; engine and muffler noises, radios blaring, squealing
- 13 tires, vacuums, loud voices yelling, horn blowing, and dumpster

- 14 pick up is another one that is very loud. Also the slamming of
- 15 trunks and doors and mats, and even a dog barking was heard on
- 16 one occasion.
- 17 In terms of the frequencies, the vehicles with the loud
- 18 mufflers and engines were by far the most frequent. There was
- 19 another -- then you have another set, the radio squealing, tires
- 20 and vacuums, which occurred at a slightly less frequency, but
- 21 were still quite prevalent. And then the others at a much lower
- 22 level. And also I need to point out again that this was kept --
- 23 these noise disturbances occurred with our windows closed.
- Now, noise can be a problem in a lot of different ways, but

- 1 while all noise is unwanted, noise is disturbing. What probably
- 2 is the most disturbing and stressful noise is the noise that
- 3 either wakes you up while you are sleeping or prevents you from
- 4 falling asleep while you are drifting off.
- 5 So what I have done is my wife and I were normally in bed
- 6 by 10:00 to 10:30, but the lights are almost always off by 11:15,
- 7 after which time we drift off to sleep. And our alarms are set
- 8 in the morning for 6:30. So at 6:00 in the morning we are still
- 9 normally asleep. We may be starting to wake up, but we are not
- 10 yet awake.
- 11 What I have done from the four-month log, again, is
- 12 extracted those disturbances which occurred between the hours of
- 13 12:15 p.m. and 6:00 a.m., to indicate the number of disturbances

- 14 that actually woke us up or disturbed us from a period of near
- 15 sleep.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. McDonough, you said
- 17 12:15, but I assume you mean 11:15 p.m.?
- MR. McDONOUGH: Thank you. 11:15 p.m.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 MR. McDONOUGH: Our lights are normally out. Again, the
- 21 same culprits, vehicle engines and mufflers, radios, dumpsters,
- 22 squealing tires and so on. But as you can see, it is not quite
- 23 as frequent. When you look at the specific dates that these
- 24 occurred on, there were multiple incidents on some dates, but

- 1 there were 19 individual dates in that four-month period of just
- 2 weekdays again when we were either awoken from sleep or disturbed
- 3 while we were in the process of falling asleep or waking up.
- 4 Okay. To measure the loudness or intensity of the various
- 5 noises from the car wash, Mr. Zak recommended that we purchase a
- 6 Radio Shack sound level meter. Mr. Zak recommended it because it
- 7 is inexpensive, easy to use, and provides reliable measurements
- 8 of noise levels.
- 9 MR. WIGGINTON: I am going to object to that, what Mr. Zak
- 10 may have stated to this witness outside of this hearing, on
- 11 hearsay grounds. I would move to strike that testimony.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I am going to overrule

- 13 your objection, finding that it is just background information.
- 14 MR. WIGGINTON: I will object as to what -- he qualified it
- 15 and said that Mr. Zak told him that this was a reliable tool.
- 16 That is more than just background. That is an opinion as to
- 17 whether or not a particular component is qualified to take
- 18 measurements or not.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I am going to overrule
- 20 your objection.
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Okay, Mr. McDonough.
- 23 MR. McDONOUGH: In terms of the process of using this meter
- 24 to gain reliable measurements, Mr. Zak indicated that the meter

- 1 should be held flat in the hand with the microphone pointed in
- 2 the direction of the noise source, and then the dial turned to
- 3 the appropriate noise level that was coming from the emitter.
- 4 Also, he indicated that the weighting should be set to either
- 5 A-weighting or C-weighting with a fast response. The other point
- 6 was that the meter had to be held a minimum of 25 feet from the
- 7 common property boundary.
- 8 In our case, the meter readings I took were from my bedroom
- 9 window, which is approximately 45 feet from the common property
- 10 boundary, so well beyond what the limitation in the law provides
- 11 for. But that is -- in using this instrument, I captured -- I
- 12 would also like to have this put into evidence as Complainant's

- 13 Exhibit 8.
- 14 (Whereupon the sound meter was duly marked for purposes
- of identification as Complainant's Exhibit 8 as of this
- 16 date.)
- 17 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I would like to make a photocopy
- 18 of it, Mr. McDonough, instead of taking your sound meter. The
- 19 Board is pretty familiar with these kind of sound meters and I
- 20 don't want to take your meter.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I will make a photocopy of it,
- 23 though, and the serial number.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: The Board has seen that before.
- 2 (Whereupon a document was duly marked for purposes of
- 3 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 9 as of this
- 4 date.)
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: I performed the steps as Mr. Zak had
- 6 instructed on a number of occasions, and referring to what is
- 7 marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit Number C9,
- 8 obtained the measurements listed at 16.1 through 16.8 on page
- 9 three, at the bottom of the page three and the top of page four.
- 10 Again, all the measurements were taken from behind the bedroom
- 11 window with the window open, and the distance from the common

- 12 property line was approximately 45 feet.
- 13 MR. WIGGINTON: Excuse me, Dave. Mr. Langhoff, again, I
- 14 would like to renew my objection in my motion in limine with
- 15 respect to any measurement testing of sound on the grounds that
- 16 there has been no foundation established that those testing
- 17 procedures meet the regulations set forth in the Illinois
- 18 Statutes and in the regulations promulgated by the Illinois
- 19 Pollution Control Board, nor do they meet the applicable American
- 20 National Standards Institute standards and recommended practices.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am going to overrule your
- 22 objection.
- 23 MR. WIGGINTON: I would also add that on exhibit -- this
- 24 most recent exhibit that Mr. McDonough testified to, on item 16,

- 1 there is a -- well, strike that.
- 2 Were these taken on December 12th? Well, I will object.
- 3 It says these were taken on December 12th of 2000 through the
- 4 present. We have no indication as to what particular day any of
- 5 these noise measurements were taken on, what the weather
- 6 conditions were, and whether the instrument was properly
- 7 calibrated on that particular day.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. I am going to
- 9 overrule your objection.
- 10 MR. McDONOUGH: Just looking down the list, we were able to
- 11 capture a horn, the vacuum noise on a number of occasions,

- 12 vehicle engine noise, and radio noise. And it is my opinion that
- 13 the frequency at which we are subjected to noises of these levels
- 14 at my bedroom window and in our yard and on our porch are always
- 15 unreasonable and often illegal.
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: Well, I will object and move to strike
- 17 that, as to what his opinion is as to what is legal and illegal.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. I am going to
- 19 overrule your objection.
- 20 Anything further with Exhibit C9, Mr. McDonough?
- MR. McDONOUGH: No, sir.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. As a somewhat separate matter, I
- 24 would now like to switch gears and show that my wife and I have

- 1 been diligent in our attempt to resolve this issue without having
- 2 to resort to this hearing. Referring to what has been marked as
- 3 Complainant's Exhibit Number 10.
- 4 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 5 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 10 as of this
- 6 date.)
- 7 MR. McDONOUGH: This is the -- our initial letter to the
- 8 respondents bringing to their attention the noise problem and
- 9 asking them to recommend steps to solve the problem. Attached to
- 10 that is their response, which basically indicated that they had

- 11 no responsibility for the noise by referring us to City
- 12 management as the appropriate people to take care of the problem.
- 13 (Whereupon a document was duly marked for purposes of
- 14 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 11 as of this
- 15 date.)
- MR. McDONOUGH: After not hearing anything for some time,
- on the 18th of September in 1999, as indicated in what is marked
- 18 for identification as Complainant's Exhibit Number 11, we sent a
- 19 second letter. And indicated that if they were not going to --
- 20 if they were not going to take steps to correct the problem, we
- 21 were going to initiate legal action. Again, we heard nothing
- 22 back from the respondents. So on the 20th of March in 2000 we
- 23 filed the formal complaint with the Board.
- Now, on November 29th, in written discovery, after

- 1 approximately a year and a half after initially informing or
- 2 bringing the problem to the respondent's attention, when they
- 3 were asked what steps they had taken to try to mitigate or solve
- 4 the noise problem, the response was that they had removed the
- 5 soda machine from the south end of the building. There was
- 6 nothing in anything that we had ever indicated to them that the
- 7 soda machine was any of the problems. So basically it was our
- 8 opinion that they were not very serious about taking steps to
- 9 correct the problem.
- 10 MR. WIGGINTON: I move to strike that testimony, as to what

- 11 his opinion is on whether they were moving to take care of the
- 12 problem.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Overruled.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Next on May 24th of 2001, they did come in
- 15 with a reasonable offer for steps, but an offer of steps that
- 16 really would have been ineffective in clearing up the noise.
- 17 What we did at that point -- I am sorry -- the Complainant's
- 18 Exhibit Number 14 was their -- was provided to us offering up
- 19 those steps.
- 20 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 21 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 14 as of this
- 22 date.)
- MR. McDONOUGH: What we did was went bank to them with a
- 24 counteroffer, which is provided in Complainant'S Exhibit Number

- 1 C15.
- 2 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 3 identification as Complainant's Exhibit 15 as of this
- 4 date.)
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: And after that point there was really no
- 6 further negotiations on the subject and, hence, we are at hearing
- 7 today to try to resolve the problem.
- 8 That concludes my testimony.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.

- 10 Wigginton.
- 11 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 12 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. WIGGINTON:
- 14 Q. I guess we will start with the last exhibit first, Mr.
- 15 McDonough. In your letter, which is Exhibit C15, that was a
- 16 letter you wrote to me on June 1, 2001. And in that you
- 17 indicated that my clients had certainly made a substantive offer
- 18 towards resolution, one which you appreciated; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And that you also indicated in here that you were very
- 21 appreciative of my client's intent and willingness to work with
- 22 you in this matter; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. It is my understanding that you and your wife, Jackie,

- both work outside the home; is that correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. You work approximately Monday through Friday, 9:00 to
- 4 5:00 p.m.?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. And you leave work at approximately 8:00 a.m. and return
- 7 around 6:00 p.m., generally?
- 8 A. (Nodded head up and down.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You have to answer out loud.

- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) At the time that you purchased this
- 13 home in 1992, you knew that the seller that you bought it from
- 14 wanted to develop the property to the north of you as commercial
- 15 development; is that correct?
- 16 A. No, that is not correct.
- Q. When you tried to buy this home in 1992, it was
- originally part of a three acre tract; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. The seller was only willing to sell you one and a half
- 21 acres; is that correct?
- 22 A. That's true.
- 23 Q. That is because the seller wanted to leave the other
- 24 acre and a half for development purposes?

- 1 A. True. Not commercial development.
- 2 Q. What type of development?
- 3 A. Residential.
- 4 Q. Were you aware at that time that there was other
- 5 commercial development activity being planned for Route 4?
- 6 A. No, I was not.
- 7 Q. You learned in 1997, through the newspaper, I believe,
- 8 that the entire tract of ground surrounding your home was going

- 9 to be developed as a large retail development; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. That was part of a tax increment financing
- 12 district?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. Not a retail necessarily. It included plans for
- 16 apartments and those kinds of -- and a retirement facility. It
- 17 was not all retail.
- 18 (Whereupon a document was duly marked for purposes of
- 19 identification as Respondent's Exhibit 1 as of this
- 20 date.)
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Okay. I am going to hand you what I
- 22 have marked as Exhibit R1. Okay?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. And is this the newspaper article when you first learned

- 1 of this large commercial development and residential that was
- 2 going to encircle your home?
- 3 A. That is --
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. -- the first time I had seen that.
- 6 Q. Okay. On here where it says red siding house, is that
- 7 your home on that tract?
- 8 A. Correct.

- 9 Q. Okay. And under the -- is it your understanding that
- 10 the TIF district has now overcome its legal obstacles and is up
- 11 and running?
- 12 A. It has been passed.
- 13 Q. Okay. And as part of the conceptual plan, your home was
- 14 going to be completely surrounded by commercial development; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. Under the original conceptual drawing I believe
- 18 the property where the car wash is now located was indicated to
- 19 be a fast food service station, a fast food restaurant?
- 20 A. I am not aware of that.
- 21 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. With respect to Illinois
- 22 Route 4, which runs directly in front of your home --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- that is one of the main thoroughfares through the

- 1 City of Mascoutah; is that correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. In fact, that road is connected to Interstate 64,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. Your home is also located --
- 7 A. Four miles away.

- 8 Q. Four miles --
- 9 A. (Nodded head up and down.)
- 10 Q. -- from the interstate?
- 11 A. Yes, from the interstate.
- 12 Q. And your home is also located four miles from Mid
- 13 America Airport?
- 14 A. Approximately.
- 15 Q. And your home is also located approximately six miles
- 16 from Scott Air Force Base, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. As we sit here today, your home is now -- has
- 19 commercial development on the north side?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Where my client's car wash is, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And it has commercial development on the south side
- 24 where there is now a medical clinic?

- 1 A. Correct, and one with which we have no problem at all.
- 2 Q. I note that you did build a berm on the south side, as
- 3 well; is that correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. To separate your property from the medical clinic?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Then on the other side of the medical clinic is now a

- 8 McDonald's restaurant; is that correct?
- 9 A. That's true.
- 10 Q. Do you ever hear any noise from the McDonald's
- 11 restaurant?
- 12 A. Huh-uh.
- Q. Do you know what their hours of operation are?
- 14 A. I think until 11:00 on the weekends and maybe until
- 15 10:00 during the week.
- 16 Q. Okay. The arterial road, for lack of a better word,
- 17 is --
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. -- in place and is stubbed in, I believe, to the --
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. -- end of the McDonald's; is that correct?
- 22 A. (Nodded head up and down.)
- 23 Q. It is also my understanding that recently construction
- 24 began on the back, on to the west of you?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Now, you would agree that my client's property went
- 5 through a zoning process with the City of Mascoutah; is that
- 6 correct?

- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. And you attended those zoning hearings?
- 9 A. We did.
- 10 Q. And you were allowed to express your opinions at those
- 11 zoning hearings regarding your thoughts of this property and the
- 12 property surrounding you becoming commercial?
- 13 A. We did.
- 14 Q. Because they were changing the zoning from agricultural
- 15 to B2, I believe; is that correct?
- A. Correct, of everything but my home. My home is still
- 17 zoned residential.
- 18 Q. Have you ever made any efforts to rezone your property?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. The City of Mascoutah rezoned my client's property B2
- 21 commercial; is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, in the evening hours after you are home you
- 24 spend most of your time in the living room and office area, which

- 1 is in the center of your home, prior to bedtime?
- 2 A. The living room, yeah.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, in that part of your home -- your home is an
- 4 older home?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. That was originally of stone construction?

- 7 A. Brick.
- 8 Q. Then you added on the master bedroom and porch?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. That's a wood frame construction, right?
- 11 A. True.
- 12 Q. Okay. During the evening hours and prior to bedtime, it
- 13 seems to me, from looking at your chart, you only began charting
- 14 after the 10:00 hour; is that correct?
- 15 A. That's true.
- 16 Q. Okay. I believe you indicated to me when we had a
- 17 chance to take your deposition that the problems you have with
- 18 the noise are typically after 10:00 p.m. and in the summer?
- 19 A. The problems with the noise are whenever we are faced
- 20 with it. The most serious problems with the noise are when we
- 21 are trying to go to sleep or are sleeping.
- 22 Q. Well, I mean, you are always going to have noise in your
- 23 lifetime, would you agree with that? You are going to have noise
- 24 from Route 4, correct?

- 1 A. Yeah, but the less noise the better, I guess.
- Q. Well, would you agree that there is reasonable noise and
- 3 then unreasonable noise?
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Okay. And just so I am clear on this, the noise that

- 6 you are complaining about is the unreasonable noise that occurs
- 7 after 10:00 p.m.?
- 8 A. The unreasonable noise from the car wash that occurs
- 9 after 10:00 p.m., correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Because there could be reasonable noise that
- 11 comes from the car wash after 10:00 p.m., such as --
- 12 A. There could be no noise from the car wash after 10:00
- 13 p.m.
- 14 Q. Well, there could be no noise on Route 4 if they closed
- 15 down Route 4, too, right?
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. And you would not have trucks going past your house 120
- 18 feet away, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. In fact, there has been an increase in truck traffic
- 21 because they have modified Route 4 in front of your home; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. During the day I would think that it is more prevalent.
- 24 Q. Have you noticed an increase in the traffic on Route 4

- 1 during the past few years since they reconstructed Route 4 and
- 2 made it a direct route into Interstate 64?
- 3 A. Somewhat. Route 4 has always been a major route, and I
- 4 think since they rerouted that it does have a little bit more
- 5 traffic during the peak hours.

- 6 Q. On Route 4 is there reasonable noise and unreasonable
- 7 noise coming into your home from Route 4?
- 8 A. Sure. I would say the heavy trucks that go by are less
- 9 reasonable than, you know, a small four cylinder vehicle going by
- 10 at 30 miles an hour.
- 11 Q. Okay. Recently have you gone over to my client's
- 12 property to examine some of the things that they have changed in
- order to accommodate your complaints?
- 14 A. I have noticed the few signs that say no loitering,
- 15 although I still see loitering. I have seen the signs that say
- 16 no loud music, although I still hear loud music. So I have seen
- 17 some things that they have done. I don't know that they have
- 18 done anything effectively.
- 19 Q. In looking at your log and some of your summaries it
- 20 appears that your biggest complaint is with the vehicles and the
- 21 patrons. It does not seem to be a number of complaints with
- 22 regard to the actual car wash equipment?
- 23 A. They are all different. The vehicles with horns and
- 24 squealing tires and loud radios are certainly the most intense

- 1 $\,$ noise emitters. But the -- whereas the vacuums and the beeps are
- 2 at a lower level. But when that is all that is there, and that's
- 3 the noise that you are hearing, that is then the unreasonable
- 4 noise.

- 5 Q. Well, that's not -- I appreciate that, Dave. But my
- 6 question is when I look at your own chart and your own summaries,
- 7 it appears that in the graphs that you prepared, the three major
- 8 complaints are vehicle engines and mufflers, radios, and
- 9 squealing tires?
- 10 A. No, those are not the three major complaints.
- 11 Q. Well, it says 59 --
- 12 A. Those are the three --
- 13 Q. -- 18 and 16.
- 14 A. -- most frequent occurrences.
- 15 Q. And then when I look at Exhibit Number 7, your Exhibit
- 16 Number 7 --
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. -- there is no instances on Exhibit Number 7 related to
- 19 actual car wash equipment, such as a vacuum, a beeper, a car wash
- 20 bay going off, correct?
- 21 A. Yeah, I would say that the car wash equipment has never
- 22 woken us from sleep.
- 23 Q. Have you done any type of sound studies of the
- 24 commercial development just south of your property, the St.

- 1 Elizabeth's Medical Clinic and the McDonald's restaurant?
- 2 A. I have never done any sound studies primarily because
- 3 they are closed on weekends, and they are closed at 5:00 in the
- 4 evening, so basically during the hours we are home they are

- 5 closed. So there is no noise from them that we hear.
- 6 Q. What about traffic noise coming from the McDonald's
- 7 restaurant or from their dumpster being loaded and unloaded?
- 8 A. Never have heard any of that.
- 9 Q. Now, Mr. McDonough, it is my understanding that you work
- 10 as a -- is it a statistician at Scott Air Force?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. As a civilian employee?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. You work in an office setting?
- 15 A. A group office setting. It is not single offices. It
- 16 is modular furniture, I guess.
- 17 Q. It is my understanding that your wife, Mrs. McDonough,
- 18 works at Washington University in a laboratory setting?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay. That's all I believe that I have.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 22 McDonough, you have a brief opportunity to testify, if you want
- 23 to, concerning any of the questions that Mr. Wigginton asked on
- 24 cross-examination.

- 1 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: So is there any testimony that
- 3 his cross-examination might have brought out that you wish to

- 4 give to the Board?
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: No. The only piece of information is that
- 6 I don't dispute that the businesses around me have rights to
- 7 operate, but they also have responsibilities to operate within
- 8 the law, and that is where my complaint is directed.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Anything further, Mr.
- 10 McDonough?
- 11 MR. McDONOUGH: No.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. Wigginton, any
- 13 recross on that statement?
- 14 MR. WIGGINTON: No.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Your next
- 16 witness.
- 17 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. My next witness is Mr. Zak.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
- 19 MR. McDONOUGH: Do we need to switch seats?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: No, that is fine.
- 21 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Darlene, would you swear the
- 23 witness, please.
- 24 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Mr. McDonough.
- 2 MR. McDONOUGH: Thank you.
- 3 GREGZAK,

- 4 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, saith as
- 5 follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. McDONOUGH:
- 8 Q. Mr. Zak, could you briefly describe your history of work
- 9 experience in the areas of sound measurement and noise control
- 10 and in the impact of sound on human response?
- 11 A. My work history goes back to 1972 as far as taking noise
- 12 measurements are concerned. I began employment with the Illinois
- 13 Environmental Protection Agency in the spring of 1972. The job
- 14 entailed answering noise complaints from the public, taking
- 15 instrumentation out in the field and measuring noise emissions in
- 16 the field and then writing a detailed technical report on the
- 17 nature of the measurements and how the measurements were taken,
- 18 describing the noise source.
- 19 After that I would pursue the case, the Agency, it would be
- 20 the Illinois EPA, called the Agency, would -- in situations where
- 21 the violator -- or maybe I shouldn't say violator -- but perhaps
- 22 the alleged violator would not solve the problem, the Agency
- 23 would prepare a case against the alleged violator, and part of my
- 24 duty was to perform noise control engineering to determine the

- 1 economic reasonableness and technical practicability of remedying
- 2 the problem using noise control engineering.

- 3 After preparing that documentation, the case -- if the case
- 4 went to hearing, I would then testify as an expert in the case
- 5 describing the measurements and the nature of how to perform the
- 6 noise control engineering to solve the problem. And that would
- 7 be true from about 1972 until 1978.
- 8 In 1978 I became regional manager for noise pollution for
- 9 Central and Southern Illinois. My duties changed somewhat at
- 10 that time. I had a management position and was responsible for
- 11 four or five people. I would spend probably half my time in the
- 12 office and half my time in the field. So the management position
- 13 did entail a little more office work, but I still maintained my
- 14 field experience.
- Then in 1981 funding for the noise program was cut to zero,
- 16 and I transferred over into compliance for the Division of Land
- 17 Pollution. I then was responsible for managing a program of
- 18 permitting waste haulers in the state, another program for
- 19 tracking all of the shipments of both hazardous and industrial
- 20 waste throughout the state. The other part of the program was
- 21 monitoring water contaminants at various landfills at potential
- 22 Superfund sites in the state.
- 23 And also I developed a process to enforce the annual --
- 24 what they called the annual hazardous waste report. All industry

- 1 that generated a significant amount of hazardous waste had to
- 2 complete that form and we tracked that. There was about a five

- 3 year period where I was doing this type of work.
- 4 But secondary to my responsibilities was also continuing to
- 5 do some noise work, simply because the Agency had so many calls
- 6 on noise pollution that I was called upon fairly frequently to
- 7 answer the public's inquiries and basically explain that we
- 8 didn't have -- we had no resources to really do anything to
- 9 investigate the problem or to really even advise them on how to
- 10 solve the problem, other than perhaps going out and looking for a
- 11 private consultant or an attorney.
- 12 Then in 1987, the Agency began a hazardous waste
- 13 incineration program. The nature of the hazardous waste
- 14 incinerators -- one of the natures of the incinerator was being
- 15 very noisy. I was asked to take charge of the noise program at
- 16 that time and do the noise control engineering work in order to
- 17 solve the problems with the hazardous waste incinerators. I did
- 18 that for about two or three years.
- 19 At the same time we began to get a lot of citizen calls on
- 20 noise pollution. I began to develop what I call a self-help
- 21 program whereby citizens could handle their own complaint with
- 22 some guidance from myself and different files that I had
- 23 available to me. And they could, if necessary, pursue relief
- 24 before the Pollution Control Board.

- 2 no longer used, and at that point in time I did the citizen noise
- 3 complaint process nearly full-time, at which time I was receiving
- 4 2,000 or 3,000 calls a year on noise problems. And I did that
- 5 until July 31st of this year, 2001.
- 6 I retired on July 31st of 2001. And then on August the 1st
- 7 of 2001 I went into business as Noise Solutions by Greg Zak. In
- 8 essence, it is a consulting operation. I am the sole person
- 9 involved. The duties are very, very similar to what I did at the
- 10 Illinois EPA.
- 11 Q. Could you elaborate just a little bit more on your
- 12 experience with the impact of sound on human response?
- 13 A. As --
- 14 MR. WIGGINTON: I am sorry. Mr. Langhoff, we can avoid
- 15 this background. I mean, if this is -- I will stipulate that he
- 16 is an expert. I believe even the Board has retained him before
- 17 as an expert witness in the Terminal Railroad Case. So, I mean,
- 18 we don't have to go through all portions of his resume.
- 19 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. So we can tender Mr. Zak as the
- 20 expert witness in this case in matters of --
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: It has been stipulated and
- 22 accepted by the Board.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) All right. Then I would like to show

- 2 8, and ask if you would not mind looking at that?
- 3 A. Yes, I have looked at it.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with those type of meters?
- 5 A. Yes, I am. It is a digital sound level meter,
- 6 manufactured for Radio Shack. It is the type of meter that I
- 7 frequently recommend to folks that have noise problems to
- 8 purchase in order to find out approximately what the sound levels
- 9 are that they are dealing with. It is a reasonably accurate
- 10 instrument. It is not listed as an ANSI type one or an ANSI type
- 11 two, but typically when calibrating these instruments with a
- 12 precision calibrator, I find that they are usually anywhere from
- 13 exactly correct to no more than two or three decibels off. And
- 14 this particular meter I did calibrate myself this morning and I
- 15 checked it and it was exactly right on.
- 16 Q. So based on the way you looked at it this morning, you
- 17 would say it is capable of fairly accurately measuring noise
- 18 levels?
- 19 A. Yes, especially for a nuisance type case. I would not
- 20 want to use it for -- it would not suffice for a numerical case,
- 21 but for a nuisance case I think it would.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- MR. WIGGINTON: Let me just, for the record, object to that
- 24 question and that response and move to strike that response on

- 1 the grounds of Supreme Court Rule 213. That was an undisclosed
- 2 opinion that was generated based upon a review this morning,
- 3 prior to the hearing.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 5 McDonough, any argument? Do you have any legal argument in
- 6 response to Mr. Wigginton's objection to that evidence and the
- 7 motion to strike?
- 8 MR. McDONOUGH: No. The only argument I would have is that
- 9 in previous cases, you know, these have been used and accepted as
- 10 fairly reliable.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am going to sustain your
- 12 objection, Mr. Wigginton, with regards to the Rule 213 parts of
- 13 the testimony, and grant your motion to strike that testimony,
- 14 that portion.
- 15 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 16 MR. McDONOUGH: Would it help if I restated the question?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I doubt it, Mr. McDonough, but
- 18 you can if you want to. What I have done is I have stricken the
- 19 testimony that Mr. Zak gave concerning his actions today, what he
- 20 did today with the meter, that he tested the meter and that it is
- 21 right on.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I have left intact his testimony
- 24 that in the past it has been reasonably accurate in his opinion.

- 1 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. That's good.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: It is your witness, Mr.
- 3 McDonough.
- 4 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) Okay. Assuming that is an accurate
- 6 recorder and that the sound levels that you have heard testified
- 7 to earlier are true and accurate, do you have an opinion, based
- 8 on a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, as to whether the
- 9 noise levels of the magnitudes that have been testified to would
- 10 unreasonably interfere with any of the following activities, such
- 11 as falling asleep with the windows opened or closed, relaxing in
- 12 the yard, or relaxing and reading on the porch?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. WIGGINTON: Let me, again, object on the grounds of
- 15 Supreme Court Rule 213 that any opinions that he generates in
- 16 response to that are based upon information that was not
- 17 disclosed in response to Rule 213 interrogatories.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Zak, can you answer that
- 19 question? Do you understand the objection?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Can you answer that question
- 22 without using information that has not been disclosed or using
- 23 just information that has been disclosed in the past?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Can you answer that?
- 2 THE WITNESS: I think I can, yes.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Please answer the
- 4 question. Mr. Wigginton, if you have an objection as his answer
- 5 comes out, please make it.
- 6 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Based on the measurements that were shown to
- 8 me and the paperwork supplied by Mr. McDonough, which I believe
- 9 was provided to the respondent's Counsel, the levels are
- 10 sufficiently high that it would be expected to wake someone who
- 11 was sleeping or prevent someone from sleeping. It would disturb
- 12 a reasonable person, in my opinion, who is using their backyard
- 13 for gardening or any type of recreational activity that is a
- 14 relatively sedate and quiet type of activity.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: Again, just for the record, I renew my
- 17 objection and move to strike that testimony.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: On the grounds that it was not
- 19 provided --
- 20 MR. WIGGINTON: That it was --
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: -- to you?
- 22 MR. WIGGINTON: That is correct. Those opinions that he
- 23 just stated were not provided to me.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. McDonough, do you

- 1 have any argument? Were those opinions provided to Mr. Wigginton
- 2 and the respondents?
- 3 MR. McDONOUGH: The opinions were not, no.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I am going to sustain the
- 5 objection and grant the motion to strike that testimony, all the
- 6 testimony of the question -- in response to your question, Mr.
- 7 McDonough.
- 8 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Can I make an offer of proof --
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Yes.
- 10 MR. McDONOUGH: -- on this?
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Sure, you may. And it is in, so
- 12 you have already made it. It is on the record.
- 13 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: We will take the answer as it
- 15 has been given as your offer of proof.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Any --
- 18 MR. WIGGINTON: No, that's fine.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) Okay. Then, moving on, the -- you
- 21 have heard the noise problems and you are also a noise control
- 22 expert. Do you have an opinion, again, within a reasonable
- 23 degree of scientific certainty, as to what would be required to
- 24 alleviate the noise, or eliminate it, or reduce it?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Could you tell us what those opinions are?
- 3 A. My opinion is based on a number of factors. First of
- 4 all, looking at previous Pollution Control Board cases,
- 5 specifically the case of People versus a car wash in Lincoln.
- 6 The name of the car wash right now escapes my memory. There was
- 7 a car wash case before the Board, and a number of things were
- 8 tried as far as vacuums and sprayers were concerned. And the
- 9 results were less than satisfactory.
- 10 Then there was another case before the Board in Maywood,
- 11 Illinois, back in the 1980s. And, again, that case was one where
- 12 solutions on the vacuums, sprayers, and things like that just had
- 13 very little effect. But in those cases what they did was to
- 14 construct a barrier between the facility and the complainant's
- 15 home. The barrier was relatively effective in solving the
- 16 problem. In this case, from what I have observed, and especially
- 17 in looking at the record, I have -- I stopped at the site for
- 18 about a half hour this morning on my way here to look it over.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. Wigginton, I will
- 20 allow you to have a running objection --
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: Right.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: -- concerning all of the
- 23 opinions that were not provided to you pursuant to Supreme Court
- 24 Rule 213, and I am going to -- I have already --

- 1 MR. WIGGINTON: That's fine.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: -- sustained that objection.
- 3 MR. WIGGINTON: And I would just like to add also, as you
- 4 know, Mr. Langhoff, that not only are the experts that come into
- 5 our courtrooms and our hearings in the State of Illinois required
- 6 to disclose their opinions, but they are also required to
- 7 disclose the basis for that opinion. Here I have never been
- 8 disclosed any basis regarding any car wash case in Lincoln or any
- 9 case in Maywood.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: It is noted.
- 11 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. McDonough, I am treating all
- 13 this testimony now as being struck, and this is your offer of
- 14 proof.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I am sorry, Mr. Zak. Can
- 17 you continue?
- 18 THE WITNESS: I am not quite sure where I was.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You said that you had stopped by
- 20 there this morning.
- 21 MR. McDONOUGH: And about the barrier.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer. I stopped
- 23 there for approximately a half hour this morning and then looked
- 24 everything over. I noted signs up that people would be

- 1 prosecuted -- words to the effect that people would be prosecuted
- 2 for dumping oil or trash on the site, but I didn't see any
- 3 corresponding signs asking -- or threatening to prosecute for
- 4 noise violations. The other thing that I observed was the berm
- 5 between the McDonough residence and the car wash.
- 6 Getting to the point of as far as a noise barrier is
- 7 concerned, probably one of the most common noise solutions used
- 8 throughout Illinois are noise barriers. A noise barrier of
- 9 approximately 150 feet in length running parallel with the
- 10 McDonough residence and the car wash, and it would be running
- 11 from east to west. At the western end I would recommend an
- 12 additional wing be placed on there approximately 75 feet long.
- 13 That would deflect the sound, a large portion of the sound away
- 14 from the McDonough residence and back into the car wash. The
- 15 height of that fence should be around 12 foot high. It could be
- 16 constructed of half inch outdoor grade plywood, fitted so that it
- 17 is air tight, caulked where necessary, flush with the ground.
- 18 And I also thought about the economics involved there, and
- 19 looking at -- thinking back to the case of Thomas versus Kerry
- 20 (spelled phonetically) Companies, and in that specific case the
- 21 -- part of the solution for that problem was to construct an
- 22 approximately 12 foot high fence about 200 feet long. And
- 23 documentation was supplied to me by the respondent in that case
- 24 that the cost was around \$12,000.00. I would fairly confidently

1-800-244-0190

- 1 say that in this case the cost of that type of fence would be in
- the neighborhood of say \$12,000.00 to \$15,000.00, allowing some
- 3 inflation in there.
- 4 The other problem that is -- that has been demonstrated to
- 5 me in the paperwork is exhaust noise from vehicles and radio
- 6 noise and other noise associated with patrons at the facility.
- 7 The barrier that I just described would take care of some of the
- 8 problem in the daytime. At night, the barrier would fail to
- 9 provide sufficient reduction, in my opinion, to meet the Board's
- 10 standards.
- 11 The solution would appear to me to be to suspend operations
- 12 at the facility between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.
- 13 The reason I come up with this conclusion is that if we look at
- 14 the nature of the exhaust noise, typically exhaust noise is in
- 15 the 125 hertz octave band. That particular octave band does not
- 16 lend itself to work well with barriers. The low frequency 125
- 17 hertz octave band will tend to go up over the barrier, and the
- 18 barrier has very little effect. So what I would expect to see is
- 19 a constant recurrence of the problem of being awakened at night
- 20 due to vehicles that have exhaust noise problems. The barrier
- 21 would be effective for screeching tires or radio noise that is --
- 22 as long as it is not a very low frequency or base type of radio
- 23 noise.
- 24 Again, the barrier is effective for the mid frequency range

- 1 in the spectrum of noise and the high frequency spectrum, but not
- 2 in the low end. For example, between 100 -- let me rephrase
- 3 that. From 125 hertz on down the barrier would have very little,
- 4 if any, effect. Given that situation, I would suggest either --
- 5 I would suggest ceasing operation again between the hours of
- 6 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.
- 7 Looking at the technical solution to that and the economics
- 8 of it, I would suggest something in the order of an electronic
- 9 timer on the facility that would cut the power off at 10:00 and
- 10 simultaneously turn a light on a small sign saying that the
- 11 facility is closed, and there should also be a no trespassing
- 12 sign wherein the respondent would be willing to prosecute people
- 13 who do trespass and create enough noise that the complainant
- 14 calls up and complains about it.
- 15 Any other alternative -- there are other alternatives, but
- 16 the cost goes way up. Now, as far as cost for the timers,
- 17 typically most timers range anywhere from \$10.00 to \$200.00. I
- 18 am confident that in that price range a timer could be found that
- 19 would both operate during -- turn the main power to the facility
- 20 off and also -- or at least turn enough power off that the
- 21 facility would not be used by patrons, and also turn a light on a
- 22 sign to indicate that the facility is closed and there is to be
- 23 no trespassing.
- An alternative to that, which would be much more expensive,

- 1 would be to hire a security person to be there at night. And the
- 2 facility could stay open then but the security person would then
- 3 be responsible for policing the area, advising anybody that has
- 4 loud exhaust that they are not to use that facility, having the
- 5 facility posted that any vehicles coming in would have to have a
- 6 legal exhaust system, and only allow vehicles that are registered
- 7 for use on the road into the facility. Again, that would be a
- 8 much more expensive alternative there, probably in excess of
- 9 \$15,000.00 or \$20,000.00 a year using a guard or a watchman at
- 10 night.
- 11 I think that pretty much covers it. I may have missed
- 12 something there, but I think that covers it.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Excuse me and let me interrupt.
- 15 Mr. Zak, are you familiar with the Supreme Court Rule 213
- 16 interrogatories that disclose your opinion and the basis for your
- 17 opinion that Mr. McDonough provided to the respondents?
- 18 THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with it, Mr. Hearing
- 19 Officer. I have heard of it and I have --
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. So you have not read it.
- 21 THE WITNESS: -- an idea of what it is.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. But you are not familiar
- 23 right now with what was in that disclosure?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I am sorry, Mr. Hearing Officer. What was

- 1 disclosed from Mr. McDonough to respondent's Counsel?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Yes. That's okay. I was just
- 3 asking, Mr. Zak. I was going to posit you a question, but I
- 4 don't think that I can now.
- 5 Okay. Mr. McDonough, it is still your witness.
- 6 MR. McDONOUGH: I have no further questions.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: All right.
- 8 MR. McDONOUGH: Can we go off the record?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Yes. Off the record.
- 10 (Discussion off the record.)
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: We are on the record again. Mr.
- 12 McDonough, I think you had a question for me.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Yes. Could you explain to me, Mr. Hearing
- 14 Officer, what you had just discussed with Mr. Zak?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I just asked Mr. Zak if he was
- 16 familiar with the Rule 213 disclosure that you provided to Mr.
- 17 Wigginton, and he was not. That's all that happened.
- 18 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 20 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. So that has no bearing on the
- 21 testimony or the --
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: It has no bearing on the
- 23 proceedings.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Wigginton.
- 2 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you. Again, I would just renew my
- 3 objection to all of those opinions and the basis that --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: There is no need to -- I have
- 5 already sustained all of your objections and granted your motion
- 6 to strike on that testimony from the point of your objection on.
- 7 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Do you have anything on cross
- 9 for Mr. Zak?
- 10 MR. WIGGINTON: No. Given that ruling, I don't have
- 11 anything.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Another
- 13 witness, Mr. McDonough, Mrs. McDonough?
- MR. McDONOUGH: No.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Do you have any further
- 16 witnesses?
- MR. McDONOUGH: No, sir.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. At this time would you
- 19 like to tender C1 through C16? That's all your exhibits?
- MR. McDONOUGH: Uh-huh.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 22 MR. McDONOUGH: All right.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Any objections? I have changed

24 my mind. I will take the noise meter back as an exhibit if you

- 1 want. You can make arrangements with the clerk's office to get
- 2 it back.
- 3 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: So C1 through 16. Are there any
- 5 objections or problems, Mr. Wigginton?
- 6 MR. WIGGINTON: No objection to C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5. I
- 7 do object to C6 and C7.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am sorry. Are those the
- 9 summaries?
- 10 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. What is your objection?
- MR. WIGGINTON: On the grounds that those have been
- 13 requested and were not produced, and that was also part of my
- 14 motion in limine, any documents that they intended to use as
- 15 exhibits.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. I am going to
- 17 overrule your objection. I find that this information has been
- 18 disclosed to you in a different form in the log.
- 19 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay. I have no objection to C9.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: What about C8, the meter?
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: Oh, I am sorry. Yes. I would just object
- 22 on the grounds that this is not an ANSI level or an ANSI type one
- 23 or an ANSI type two sound testing meter, and it does not comport

24 with the regulations or statutes of the State of Illinois.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. I am going to
- 2 overrule your objection on that.
- 3 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: C9.
- 5 MR. WIGGINTON: I have no objection to C9. I have no
- 6 objection to C10. I have no objection to C11. I have no
- 7 objection to C12. That is the complaint, and the answer that I
- 8 filed in response to the complaint. C13, I have no objection to.
- 9 C14, I have no objection to. C15, I have no objection to.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. And C16 is the resume, I
- 11 believe.
- MR. McDONOUGH: That is correct.
- MR. WIGGINTON: I have no objection to C16.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. At this time
- 15 I am going to accept C1 through C16 into the record.
- Are they all here, Mr. McDonough?
- 17 MR. McDONOUGH: Yes.
- 18 (Whereupon said documents were admitted into evidence as
- 19 Complainant's Exhibits 1 through 16 as of this date.)
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. You can have these copies
- 21 back.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Thank you.

- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Let's go off the record for a
- 24 moment.

1 (Discussion off the record.)

- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: We are going to take ten
- 3 minutes. We will be back at 11:15.
- 4 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. McDonough, you indicated to
- 6 me that you now wish to call Mrs. McDonough?
- 7 MR. McDONOUGH: Correct.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Would you swear her in,
- 9 please.
- 10 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- JACQUELYN MCDONOUGH,
- 12 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, saith as
- 13 follows:
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. McDONOUGH:
- 16 Q. Jackie, we have spent a lot of time talking about the
- 17 nighttime disturbances and the problems with noise at night, but
- 18 we have not talked much about what the daytime and weekend noise
- 19 situation is like. Could you describe for us just a little bit
- 20 of how the daytime noise impacts our daily activities?
- 21 A. Well, Monday through Friday we are at work, so it is not
- 22 really a problem. The problem is on the weekends. If it is a

23 nice day, you can't open your windows. If you are outside, it is

66

24 kind of a constant barrage of noise from the vacuums and the

- 1 beeps and the car bays and the automatic washers and, of course,
- 2 again, the patrons and their cars. So you are just kind of
- 3 inundated with a constant stream of noise. If it is a nice day
- 4 and you want to be out enjoying your yard and work out in the
- 5 yard, everybody wants to be out washing their car, too. So you
- 6 are both always out there at the same time.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you find that you ever have to
- 8 limit the time you spend outside because of the noise?
- 9 A. Well, I don't use the porch much anymore because it is
- 10 just too annoying to be out there. The yard work you still have
- 11 to do whether it is noisy or not. So you don't really have much
- 12 choice.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Is that all?
- MR. McDONOUGH: That's it. Thanks.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Wigginton?
- 17 MR. WIGGINTON: No questions.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Thank you, Mrs.
- 19 McDonough.
- 20 Anything further, Mr. McDonough?
- MR. McDONOUGH: No thanks.

- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 23 Wigginton.
- 24 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes. Thank you. Once I get organized here

- 1 I will ask Gary Robke to testify here today.
- 2 Gary, do you want to switch here so that you can sit by the
- 3 court reporter.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wigginton.
- 5 Would you swear in the witness.
- 6 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 7 GARY ROBKE,
- 8 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, saith as
- 9 follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. WIGGINTON:
- 12 Q. Would you please state your name.
- 13 A. Gary Robke.
- 14 Q. Where do you live, Gary?
- 15 A. Germantown, Illinois.
- 16 Q. And where do you work?
- 17 A. Solutia in Sauget, Illinois.
- 18 Q. What do you do at Solutia?
- 19 A. I am a maintenance man.
- Q. How long have you been there?
- 21 A. For 27 years.

- 22 Q. Do you have any outside businesses outside of your
- 23 regular employment at Solutia?
- A. Yes, me and Dan, my partner, we own a car wash, a

- 1 self-serve, three-bay car wash in Germantown also.
- 2 Q. Okay. And you also own a car wash located in Mascoutah,
- 3 Illinois?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what is the name of that car wash?
- 6 A. Just Mascoutah Car Wash.
- 7 Q. All right. And when did you become interested in
- 8 building a car wash in Mascoutah?
- 9 A. Some of the people that I work with live in the
- 10 Mascoutah area and just some other people mentioned that
- 11 Mascoutah does not have a real good car wash and they need a good
- 12 one. So at first we just kind of said that we didn't want to
- 13 mess with it. Then me and Dan just started looking for some
- 14 ground, and that is how it developed. We went to the City
- 15 manager, and they said this was going to be developing. So we
- 16 just started asking questions and went from there.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was that in approximately 1997 or 1998?
- 18 A. That was probably 1997 when we started asking and
- 19 looking around.
- 20 Q. And what attracted you to this particular location where

- 21 you ultimately constructed your car wash?
- 22 A. Basically the road, the count for the traffic was a good
- 23 reason to put one there.
- Q. Okay. Why is that?

- 1 A. Well, it brings volume past, you know, and more people,
- 2 it is more -- the people see it more, and it is -- they will use
- 3 it on the way wherever they go from on Route 4 to 64 or down
- 4 south to the Freeburg, Fayetteville area, St. Libory.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you then purchase the property in 1998?
- 6 A. I think it was in the middle of 1998. Wasn't it, Dan?
- 7 I think in the middle of 1998 we bought the property.
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 A. Or early 1998.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when you bought it what was the property
- 11 zoned?
- 12 A. It was zoned B2 commercial.
- Q. Okay. And did your use as a car wash fit that zoning
- 14 classification?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you go through the City approval process to build a
- 17 car wash on that location?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. You were granted a permit to build a car
- 20 wash there, correct?

- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. All right. When you were -- I am going to hand you what
- 23 we have marked as R1.
- 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Can you identify Exhibit R1 for us, please?
- 2 A. Yes. That is -- this was in the paper, the Mascoutah
- 3 paper where they were going to develop this as a commercial area
- 4 through I guess it would be Don Nornburns (spelled phonetically)
- 5 is the one who started it, him and several other people. And it
- 7 it is a general idea of how they were going to have it set up.
- 8 But it is not to the tee the way this is set up.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. You know, they changed a few things around, the way it
- 11 looks.
- 12 Q. Since that article ran on April the 10th of 1997, along
- 13 Route 4 there, there is -- can you tell us what has been
- 14 constructed near Mr. & Mrs. McDonough's home?
- 15 A. Well, we first -- we were the first ones to buy a lot
- 16 and we bought lot number five, and we built the car wash and it
- 17 was up and running. And then the St. Elizabeth's Hospital bought
- 18 this lot number eight, and they put a medical center on there.
- 19 And then I guess about six months later than that, then

- 20 McDonald's bought lot number nine.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. And they built there.
- 23 Q. All right. And then what about along I guess that would
- 24 be the southern edge here, the bottom edge. Were there any

1 existing commercial developments, or has there been any new

- 2 commercial developments that run along that edge?
- 3 A. I think, yes, Tom's Super Market was there and there is
- 4 like a Dollar General Store there. There is a little strip mall
- 5 there, too. I don't exactly know what all is in there, though.
- 6 Q. This is on Harnet (spelled phonetically) Street?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that runs -- that intersects with Route 4,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you said there was an existing Tom's Super
- 12 Market there?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. All right. Now, in the strip center, how many stores
- 15 are located in the strip center?
- 16 A. I think there is probably about five or six, I believe.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. There is like insurance offices there or something like
- 19 that. I didn't really pay that close attention. But there is a

- 20 Dollar General Store on the other side of Tom's Super Market
- 21 here.
- 22 Q. In the back?
- 23 A. Just directly north of it.
- Q. Like where lot eleven is?

- 1 A. No, it is part of this -- whatever they got here, it is
- 2 part of the Tom's Super Market Store. The building is all
- 3 together. It is not a separate building.
- 4 Q. Okay. And what was -- what is the purpose of a
- 5 self-service car wash?
- 6 A. Just for people to go there to use it anytime they feel
- 7 like it and help themselves to whatever is there to use the
- 8 facility and clean their car.
- 9 Q. Okay. When you -- I will set this aside now.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 MR. WIGGINTON: This I am going to have as a group exhibit,
- 12 R2.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 14 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 15 identification as Respondent's Exhibit 2 as of this
- 16 date.)
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) R2 consists of four photographs. I
- 18 am going to have you identify these and describe for everyone

- 19 here at the hearing what each photo depicts. Okay?
- 20 A. This photo here is standing on Route 4 looking at our
- 21 car wash.
- 22 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay. On the back, Your Honor, it says
- 23 picture taken standing on Route 4.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Just so the record is clear, your car
- 2 wash is constructed of masonry brick material?
- 3 A. Yes, block.
- 4 Q. What type of block did you use on the exterior walls?
- 5 A. It is called split-faced block.
- 6 Q. How thick is it?
- 7 A. Eight inches, eight inch block.
- 8 Q. Okay. What type of equipment did you use for the
- 9 vacuum?
- 10 A. You mean the company name?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. I really don't -- I think it is J.E. Adams vacuum
- 13 sweepers, I believe.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. There is four of them there.
- 16 Q. There is four vacuums there?
- 17 A. (Nodded head up and down.)
- 18 Q. Okay. Now photo number two of Group R2?

- 19 This is standing on the edge of our property looking Α.
- 20 north, just parallel with our car wash.
- 21 Okay. Does this photo depict the vacuums that are on
- 22 your property?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MR. McDONOUGH: I have seen them before.

- HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
- 2 (By Mr. Wigginton) Can you identify the third Q.
- 3 photograph?
- This was standing right there by our doorway going into 4
- 5 our equipment room, looking towards the McDonough's house.
- 6 Okay. Can you identify the fourth photograph of R2?
- 7 This is standing right there by our equipment room, Α.
- taking a picture of the vehicles on Route 4.
- 9 Approximately how far away is Route 4 from your car Q.
- wash, from the building itself, any idea? 10
- I really don't know how far it is. 11 Α.
- 12 Q. Okay. Does your car wash have garage doors on it?
- 13 On the one end. Α.
- 14 Okay. Why does it only have garage doors on one end? Q.
- 15 I believe you can only have them on one end. I think Α.
- 16 the ruling is that you can't have two doors because if somebody
- would go in there and close both doors and leave the vehicle 17

- 18 running they could get asphyxiated. And I think that has
- 19 happened before. So that is one reason why I think you are only
- 20 allowed to have one door.
- 21 Q. Okay. Can you tell us during the time that you became
- 22 interested in this property, have there been any modifications to
- 23 Route 4 that caused an increase or a decrease in traffic along
- 24 Route 4 that runs in front of Mr. McDonough's house and your car

- 1 wash?
- 2 A. Since we have built there?
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. I can't really -- I couldn't really say, because I don't
- 5 know how much traffic that they had there before. But there is
- 6 quite a bit of traffic on that road. I think it used to go
- 7 through town, and then they averted it over towards -- I don't
- 8 know what street that used to be there, but they averted that
- 9 before we even got involved with, you know, putting a car wash
- 10 there. So I don't really know how much traffic there is, but it
- 11 had to be more because with the interstate set up there now, too,
- 12 I guess.
- 13 Q. What type of traffic normally travels along Route 4 in
- 14 terms of passenger vehicles? You see those, correct?
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. Motorcycles?
- 17 A. Uh-huh, all kinds.

- 18 Q. Tractor-trailers?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether it is a designated truck route?
- 21 A. Please?
- 22 Q. Do you know whether it is a designated truck route?
- 23 A. No, I couldn't tell you that. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. You said you looked into traffic counts. Did you

- 1 actually obtain any average daily traffic counts from the
- 2 Department of Transportation?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. When did you do that?
- 5 A. Oh, it was before we built that we actually did that.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. I think we had -- I talked to somebody from
- 8 Collinsville, I believe, and they sent the information out --
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 A. -- of the traffic count.
- 11 Q. Did you provide me with a copy of the 1999 average daily
- 12 total traffic count for this roadway?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. McDONOUGH: Can I object to any of this based --
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: What is your objection?
- 16 MR. McDONOUGH: -- on Rule 213, where I have not seen any

- 17 of this either?
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: That's a different rule, but
- 19 your objection is you have not seen this before?
- 20 MR. McDONOUGH: Correct.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Any response, Mr. Wigginton?
- 22 MR. WIGGINTON: I thought this was produced, honestly. I
- 23 could go back and check. I don't think he filed a 213.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: No, no, on --

- 1 MR. McDONOUGH: Oh, I would have had to file?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: No, no. Rule 213 is a different
- 3 rule. It deals with experts.
- 4 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. McDonough is objecting on
- 6 the basis that he has not received it in discovery. I am going
- 7 to overrule the objection.
- 8 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am going to overrule the
- 10 objection on several grounds. Number one, that Mr. Wigginton has
- 11 represented that it was disclosed. And, number two, that it is,
- 12 again, background information and it is an official state
- 13 document.
- MR. WIGGINTON: I had one more copy.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: You can use that.
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay. Thank you. We will call this R3.

- 17 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Yes.
- 18 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 19 identification as Respondent's Exhibit 3 as of this
- 20 date.)
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Okay. Can you identify Exhibit R3,
- 22 please?
- 23 A. Yes, that's what I sent in for from the Department of
- 24 Transportation from Collinsville. I believe they gave me a

- 1 complete map for that, and you just copied part of the map,
- 2 right? That's what --
- 3 Q. I didn't. You may have.
- 4 A. Maybe I did that then.
- 5 Q. On here does it show what the traffic count is for Route
- 6 4 in front of your location?
- 7 A. I don't have my glasses.
- 8 Q. Can you point it to me, and then I will read it for you?
- 9 A. Well --
- 10 Q. This says 177 and that's Route 4.
- 11 A. I can't see it. I am sorry.
- 12 JACQUELYN McDONOUGH: Maybe he can borrow your glasses.
- MR. McDONOUGH: Here.
- 14 (Mr. McDonough passing his glasses to the witness.)
- 15 MR. WIGGINTON: This is a map, Your Honor, prepared by the

- 16 Illinois Department of Transportation.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I can see with Dave's glasses. Okay. It
- 18 would be 6,800 cars. That's what I see. Thank you, Dave.
- 19 MR. McDONOUGH: Sure.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Is that daily, Mr. Wigginton?
- 21 Is that a daily --
- 22 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes, this is the 1999 average daily total
- 23 traffic.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.

79

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Gary, have you ever been contacted by
- 2 the Mascoutah Police Department or any law enforcement agency
- 3 regarding any complaints at your car wash?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Have you ever received any calls from any City officials
- 6 in Mascoutah regarding any complaints at your car wash?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. Since Mr. & Mrs. McDonough first brought their
- 9 complaints to your attention, have you and your partner, Dan
- 10 Schroeder, made any changes to the car wash to try to accommodate
- 11 their situation?
- 12 A. We did put -- like he mentioned, we put up stickers --
- 13 signs that say no loud music, stickers on every vacuum. We
- 14 installed three signs for no loitering on each light pole. And
- 15 we did change the vacuum motors on four of the six vacuums to

- 16 reduce the noise for just the four of them. The other two had
- 17 the other quiet motors on them already.
- 18 Q. How do you know that? Did you make any effort to check
- 19 into changing out the vacuum motors on the other two?
- 20 A. Well, we called the company and told them they were
- 21 ultra quiet motors, and you can't get them any quieter than that.
- 22 Q. Okay. On the four you did change out, do you recall
- 23 what that cost you?
- A. They were like another \$20.00, \$25.00 per motor extra.

- 1 Q. You also indicated that you moved -- in your answers to
- 2 interrogatories, that you had moved a soda machine off the
- 3 property?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Why did you do that?
- 6 A. Well, we just figured it was probably an area that some
- 7 people would go to, you know, high school kids after school
- 8 probably would go there, so we just figured that would be good to
- 9 get rid of it so that we would not have a problem with people
- 10 moving in and out of there all of the time.
- 11 Q. Where was that soda machine originally located?
- 12 A. It was on the south end of the building.
- 13 Q. Okay. So that would be on the end closest to Mr. & Mrs.
- 14 McDonough's home?

- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, have you taken any other actions to surveil the
- 17 property or add security to the property since Mr. & Mrs.
- 18 McDonough have been engaged in this litigation with you?
- 19 A. No, not really. We do have a guy that comes there to
- 20 check up on things and he cleans up for us. And we tell him to
- 21 kind of patrol it and, you know, look around. I have already
- 22 called the police several times and told them that they need to
- 23 patrol around there more often and check things out, you know,
- 24 all hours of the day.

- Q. Okay.
- 2 A. They have told us that they have been doing that.
- 3 Q. Have you installed any security systems?
- 4 A. Yes, we did. We got a security system with four
- 5 cameras, with --
- 6 Q. And where -- go ahead.
- 7 A. The two cameras are mounted on a light pole that cover
- 8 two bays, two self-service bays. The other pole, the other
- 9 covers the rest of the car wash here in the front. We have a
- 10 camera on the back side of the car wash that looks the length of
- 11 the car wash. And then we also have one mounted inside the
- 12 building.
- 13 Q. Okay. When did you install the video camera security
- 14 system?

- 15 A. It has been about three months ago.
- 16 Q. Okay. Looking at Complainant's Exhibit Number 3, which
- 17 is a schematic preliminary site plan for your car wash; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. WIGGINTON: Would you mind if I have him mark on this
- 21 copy?
- MR. McDONOUGH: No.
- MR. WIGGINTON: And I will submit that mark.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: That's fine.

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) With this blue pen here could you
- 2 mark on Exhibit C3 where you have installed security cameras to
- 3 surveil the property?
- 4 A. Yes. There is one right here on the wall.
- 5 Q. Okay. And put a big enough mark so that everyone can
- 6 see it.
- 7 A. Okay. And there is one here.
- 8 Q. Okay. Label that one.
- 9 A. One.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. There is two here. There are two cameras, one here and
- 12 here.
- 13 Q. Okay. Would you please label that two and three?

- 14 A. Two and three.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. And we do have one inside the building in case somebody
- 17 would break in, is what it amounts to, for the entrance.
- 18 Q. You have also posted a sign advising people that they
- 19 are under surveillance?
- 20 A. Yes, it is on the door to the equipment room.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Wigginton, are your other
- 22 exhibits labeled R4 and R5?
- MR. WIGGINTON: Yes, they are.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I am just trying to figure out

83

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 what we will call that C3 that is marked on. What is your last
- 2 exhibit number?
- 3 MR. WIGGINTON: I believe it is R3. So we could call --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: We can call it R4?
- 5 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes, we could call this R4.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. We will call it R4.
- 7 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Let the record reflect that it
- 9 is a copy of C3.
- 10 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 11 identification as Respondent's Exhibit 4 as of this
- 12 date.)
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Now, have you also investigated the

- 14 possibility of building a fence --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- to serve as a barrier between the two properties?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Tell us what you did in that respect?
- 19 A. We had people from Trost Plastics come out, and they
- 20 said that they could build us a fence, either plastic or wood,
- 21 and we could install it along -- you know, as Mr. Zak said
- 22 earlier, along from east to west, along that whole length of our
- 23 boundary. And we did check with the City code and they said the
- 24 highest that we can build one would be six foot tall.

84

- Q. Okay. Did you get an estimated cost for the
- 2 construction of this fence?
- 3 A. Yes, it is right there.
- 4 Q. Okay. What is that? Will this refresh your memory?
- 5 A. Yes. It is \$6,437.06.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you indicate to Mr. & Mrs. McDonough in one
- 7 of the letters that you would be willing to install that fence?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. Wigginton, is that
- 11 R5, the -- are you going to tender that?
- 12 MR. WIGGINTON: I don't know. Dave, have you seen that? I

- don't know if he has seen that or not.
- 14 MR. McDONOUGH: No, I have not seen that. That's the fence
- 15 estimate?
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes.
- 17 MR. McDONOUGH: No.
- 18 MR. WIGGINTON: I will tender it.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Could you mark it R5,
- 20 please.
- 21 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay.
- 22 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- identification as Respondent's Exhibit 5 as of this
- 24 date.)

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Wigginton) Can you -- R5, is that the estimate
- 2 that you received from Trost Plastics, Inc., of Columbia,
- 3 Illinois?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That is for the construction of 200 feet of a six foot
- 6 high fence; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What type of tax revenues does the Mascoutah Car Wash
- 9 generate for the City of Mascoutah and the State of Illinois?
- 10 A. Off the vending, we pay sales tax on the vending
- 11 machines, and we pay real estate tax, which however they disperse
- 12 that I don't know, as far as how that all goes, the schools and

- 13 all that system, I guess. We do buy a couple of permits from the
- 14 City to use vending machines and a yearly permit just to operate
- 15 a business.
- Q. Okay. What about water usage? Does your facility
- 17 generate any water revenue for the City of Mascoutah?
- 18 A. Yes. Should I give the amounts?
- 19 Q. Do you know the amounts?
- 20 A. On average I would think we probably -- it averages
- \$800.00 a month for water and sewer, and \$400.00 a month for the
- 22 electric, which is provided by the City of Mascoutah, also. They
- 23 have their own power plant.
- 24 MR. WIGGINTON: Okay. I believe that's all I have.

- HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 2 McDonough, any cross-examination?
- 3 MR. McDONOUGH: Yes.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. McDONOUGH:
- 6 Q. Hi, Gary.
- 7 A. Hello.

1

- 8 Q. Gary, do you or Dan belong to any professional
- 9 associations related to the car wash business?
- 10 A. No. We do get a magazine. That's it.
- 11 Q. In that magazine are noise issues ever addressed?

- 12 A. No, I have never seen it. It is basically a magazine
- 13 where you can buy new equipment and they show different car
- 14 washes and stuff like that.
- 15 Q. So the community noise kind of problem that I have seen
- 16 on the internet from the car industry site is not part of this
- 17 equipment magazine?
- 18 A. No. I imagine there is all kinds of magazines out, you
- 19 know. This one is just called Car Washing and they just send it.
- 20 I don't even know how we started getting it. They started
- 21 sending it to us. We don't subscribe to it. I guess from
- 22 certain places that we buy equipment I guess they just send it to
- 23 us.
- 24 Q. Were you ever aware of the noise characteristics of car

- 1 washes?
- 2 A. No. And some of the people that we have bought
- 3 equipment from, these guys from You Wash install car washes and
- 4 this is the first case that they have heard of a noise problem.
- 5 Q. So when you bought this piece of property, which was,
- 6 you know, so close to our home, the idea that there may be noise
- 7 issues never crossed your mind?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You mentioned that you see tractor-trailers and
- 10 motorcycles on Route 4. Do you ever see tractor-trailers or
- 11 motorcycles using the car wash?

- 12 A. Motorcycles I do. I have never seen a tractor-trailer.
- 13 I don't think it is high enough for a tractor-trailer to get in
- 14 there.
- 15 Q. To get in where?
- 16 A. In our bays.
- 17 Q. Could they use the vacuums?
- 18 A. I imagine they could.
- 19 Q. The only reason I ask is that I have seen them there on
- 20 occasion and know they can't get into the bays, but they do use
- 21 the vacuums.
- MR. WIGGINTON: Objection. Move to strike.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. I will sustain the
- 24 objection and strike the question.

- 1 It is impermissible testimony really, Mr. McDonough, in the
- 2 form of a question.
- 3 MR. McDONOUGH: So --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I have struck your question.
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: Just on the motorcycles?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Just on your comment, really.
- 7 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. I didn't know if I could do that or
- 8 not.
- 9 MR. WIGGINTON: Just so you know, you can ask questions.
- 10 You can't testify.

- 11 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. I apologize.
- MR. WIGGINTON: You are doing all right.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: And that's my job.
- 14 (Laughter.)
- 15 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) You also testified to the traffic
- 16 flows, which look to be substantial at 6,800 cars. Was there any
- 17 time information to show whether, you know, there was low traffic
- 18 from 8:00 in the morning until 10:00 in the morning and then
- 19 high, any kind of time distribution to those numbers?
- 20 A. No, it was just I guess a general count of the traffic
- 21 for the whole day.
- 22 Q. So it is possible that a great majority of that traffic
- 23 could be in a fairly small portion of time, so that the other
- 24 time there could be relatively little traffic?

- 2 Q. Also the fence, the City said six foot was the limit?
- 3 A. Yeah, we talked to Danny Shrimp about it.
- 4 Q. Did you ask him anything about a variance to get a
- 5 higher fence because the six foot fence --

Yes, that's possible.

6 A. No.

Α.

1

- 7 Q. -- really according to Mr. Zak's testimony would have
- 8 really no effect on the noise problem?
- 9 A. I have never asked about a variance, no, I didn't.
- 10 Q. Would that be something that you could check on, I

- 11 guess?
- 12 A. I could.
- 13 Q. And the other -- you made a comment about having put new
- 14 motors in the vacuums. Is there -- you know, given the earlier
- 15 testimony where the patrons are perhaps the largest noise
- 16 creators, is there anything that you have done to lessen that
- 17 source of noise?
- 18 A. The vacuums you said?
- 19 Q. The patron noise.
- 20 A. Other than just put the stickers up saying no loud music
- 21 and no loitering.
- Q. But no positive steps?
- MR. WIGGINTON: I will object to that.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Just a minute. There is an
- 2 objection. What is the grounds?
- 3 MR. WIGGINTON: That it is argumentative.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 5 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. Well -- do you have -- have you --
- 6 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Just a minute, Mr. McDonough.
- 7 Is there anything in response to his objection that your question
- 8 is argumentative? No? I am going to sustain the objection,
- 9 then. You can ask another question.

- 10 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) How many hours a day do you have
- 12 somebody on the site, you know, either working or monitoring what
- is going on there?
- 14 A. Oh, he is probably there -- he comes there in the
- 15 morning and the evenings. He is probably there two or three
- 16 hours out of the day.
- 17 Q. Okay. So he is there two or three hours, so 21 to 22
- 18 hours of the day is just totally --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 O. -- unattended?
- 21 A. But that's the reason for being self-serve.
- 22 Q. And also we made the point about taxes and revenue to
- 23 the City through water use. Does there -- is there any -- do you
- 24 think at all that the fact that taxes and revenues go to the City

- 1 provides a business owner the right to operate illegally?
- 2 MR. WIGGINTON: Objection.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: I will sustain that objection.
- 4 And that question is not a question. It is argumentative, Mr.
- 5 McDonough. So you can ask another question.
- 6 MR. McDONOUGH: Can I go off the record for one second?
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: No.
- 8 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. McDonough) We talked about the security cameras.

- 10 Do the security cameras have any microphones or sound meters as
- 11 part of them?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. So the security cameras do nothing to address the sound
- 14 issue?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay. That's all of the questions I have.
- 17 Thanks.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: All right. Thank you, Mr.
- 19 McDonough.
- 20 Mr. Wigginton, any redirect?
- MR. WIGGINTON: No, Your Honor.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Robke.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Wigginton, your next
- 2 witness.
- 3 MR. WIGGINTON: Let me confer with my client just one
- 4 moment. I don't know if their testimony would be duplicative.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 6 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
- 7 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Are you ready to go back on the
- 8 record?

- 9 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Do you have another
- 11 witness?
- 12 MR. WIGGINTON: No, Mr. Langhoff, I don't believe I do. I
- 13 conferred with my clients and I believe Mr. Robke's partner's
- 14 testimony would duplicate that of what has already been given.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 16 MR. WIGGINTON: So Mr. Schroeder and Mr. Robke and I have
- 17 decided that there is no need to call any further witnesses.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. At this time would you
- 19 like to offer your exhibits, all of them?
- 20 MR. WIGGINTON: Yes, I would. I would like to offer into
- 21 evidence Exhibit R1, Group Exhibit R2, which consists of four
- 22 photographs, Exhibit R3, Exhibit R4, which was formerly C3, and
- 23 just so that the record is clear that depicts what I will circle.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: The fourth camera is inside, and

93

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 so that depicts the four cameras on R4?
- 2 MR. WIGGINTON: Right. And R5 is the estimate for the
- 3 fence.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Anything else?
- 5 MR. WIGGINTON: Let me make sure.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay.
- 7 MR. WIGGINTON: No, I don't believe that we have anything
- 8 further, Your Honor.

- 9 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. McDonough, any objections to
- 12 any of this evidence that is being offered? Let's just go
- 13 through them. R1?
- 14 MR. McDONOUGH: No, I have no objections to any of them.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: No objections to any of them.
- 16 Okay. R1 through R5 will be admitted into the record.
- 17 (Whereupon said documents were admitted into evidence as
- 18 Respondent's Exhibits 1 through 5 as of this date.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Mr. McDonough, anything
- 20 further today?
- MR. McDONOUGH: Testimony wise?
- 22 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Uh-huh.
- MR. McDONOUGH: No.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. Thank you. Let's go off

94

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 the record to discuss availability of the transcript and the
- 2 schedule of the briefs.
- 3 (Discussion off the record.)
- 4 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Okay. We have just had an
- 5 off-the-record discussion regarding the filing of post hearing
- 6 briefs. The parties are going to be subject to a briefing
- 7 schedule. Before we get to any closing arguments, I will go

- 8 ahead and read that briefing schedule into the record. The
- 9 transcript of these proceedings will be available from the court
- 10 reporter by November 27th. I will establish a public comment
- 11 period of 14 days. The parties know to talk to the court
- 12 reporter following the hearing regarding the availability of the
- 13 transcript.
- 14 The McDonoughs' brief, if any, will be due by December
- 15 12th. The mailbox rule will apply, so you need to get it in the
- 16 male by that date.
- 17 MR. McDONOUGH: Okay.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Mr. Robke's brief, if any, will
- 19 be due by December 26th. Again, the mailbox rule will apply.
- 20 The transcript is usually put on the Board's website within a few
- 21 days of its availability. I would just like to note the Board's
- 22 website is www.ipcb.state.il.us.
- 23 Any post hearing comments must be filed in accordance with
- 24 Section 101.628 of the Board's procedural rules. Public comments

- 1 must be filed by December 12th. The mailbox rule set forth at 35
- 2 Ill. Admin. Code 101.102(d) and 101.144(c) will apply to any post
- 3 hearing filings.
- 4 Mr. McDonough, would you like to make a brief closing
- 5 argument?
- 6 MR. McDONOUGH: Again, thanks for the opportunity to state
- 7 our case. Not being an attorney, I am a little confused by some

- 8 of the procedure. But relative to the 213 issue that Mr.
- 9 Wigginton brought up it seems to be somewhat of a technicality.
- 10 He had the opportunity to deposition Mr. Zak, which he chose not
- 11 to do. And he had also talked to Mr. Zak on the phone previously
- 12 when these -- when the barrier and electrical shut-offs and the
- 13 mitigating steps were discussed. So now to say that I had not
- 14 provided them to them previously, it is strictly correct, but
- 15 that same information had been provided to them previously.
- 16 The other point was that relative to the police being
- 17 notified about any problems, we personally have called the police
- 18 on numerous occasions, and the fact that they have not chosen to
- 19 inform the car wash owners or that the car wash owners have not,
- 20 you know, asked the police whether any complaints had been placed
- 21 against them is something that I just wanted to make a point of.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you. Okay. At this time
- 24 I want to note again that there are no members of the public

- 1 present that wish to make any statements for the record.
- I am required to make a statement as to the credibility of
- 3 witnesses testifying during this hearing. This statement is to
- 4 be based on my legal judgment and experience, and accordingly I
- 5 state that I found all of the witnesses testifying to be
- 6 credible. Credibility should not be an issue for the Board to

7	consider in rendering its decision in this matter today.
8	At this time I will go ahead and conclude the proceedings.
9	It is Tuesday, November 13th, at approximately noon, and we stand
10	adjourned.
11	Thank you all very much, and everyone have a good day.
12	MR. WIGGINTON: Thank you.
13	MR. McDONOUGH: Thank you.
14	HEARING OFFICER LANGHOFF: Thank you.
15	(Hearing exhibits were retained by
16	Hearing Officer Steven C. Langhoff.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY) 3 CERTIFICATE 4 I, DARLENE M. NIEMEYER, a Notary Public in and for the

County of Montgomery, State of Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that

1	the foregoing 97 pages comprise a true, complete and correct
8	transcript of the proceedings held on the 13th of November A.D.,
9	2001, at the St. Clair County Building, the Law Library, 10
10	Public Square, Belleville, Illinois, in the case of David and
11	Jacquelyn McDonough v. Gary Robke, in proceedings held before
12	Steven C. Langhoff, Hearing Officer, and recorded in machine
13	shorthand by me.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed
15	my Notarial Seal this 26th day of November A.D., 2001.
16	
17	
18	
19	Notary Public and
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter
21	CSR License No. 084-003677
22	My Commission Expires: 03-02-2003
23	
24	